

5次デルペツォ曲面束を持つ3次元弱ファノ多様体

著者	竹内 聖彦
雑誌名	椋山女学園大学教育学部紀要
巻	1
ページ	79-90
発行年	2008
URL	http://id.nii.ac.jp/1454/00003074/

A weak Fano 3-fold with del Pezzo fibration of degree 5

竹内 聖彦*

Kiyohiko Takeuchi

Abstract

This is an explicit construction of the weak Fano 3-fold V with del Pezzo fibration of degree 5 which has the anti-canonical model \bar{V} , the double covering of \mathbf{P}^3 . The 3-fold V has a flop V^+ , which is also a weak Fano 3-fold with the same type fibration. The model \bar{V} has 43 double points, the images of flopping curves in V . These 43 flopping curves are divided into three types: one of them is the trisection of the del Pezzo fibration, 8 curves of them are the bisections, and the rest 34 curves are the sections. This work is a part of the classification [5] of smooth weak Fano 3-folds with D -type extremal ray.¹⁾

Introduction

This is the remaining part of the report [5] classifying smooth weak Fano 3-folds with del Pezzo fibration. A projective 3-fold V whose anti-canonical divisor $-K_V$ is nef and big is called a *weak Fano 3-fold* ([3]). Here a divisor D on V is *nef* if $(D, C) \geq 0$ for any effective curve C in V , and D is *big* if it has a positive self-intersection-number $(D^3) > 0$. Let V be a projective 3-fold, and C a curve. A surjective morphism $\pi : V \rightarrow C$ is a *del Pezzo fibration of degree d* if its general fiber is a del Pezzo surface of degree d .

We construct a smooth weak Fano 3-fold V with del Pezzo fibration of degree 5 whose anti-canonical model \bar{V} is of degree $(-K_{\bar{V}})^3 = 2$. We have already seen in [5] that there is a series of smooth weak Fano 3-folds with del Pezzo fibration of degree 5, and that the series is composed of 11 weak Fano 3-folds whose anti-canonical divisor has the self-intersection-number $2g$, $g = 1, 2, \dots, 11$. These models constructed as the subbundle $V \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ in [5]. Here we study one of them more precisely, using an explicit representation by the bihomogeneous coordinates system of X .

The weak Fano 3-fold V

In this section, we give the explicit representation of our weak Fano 3-fold V by using the bihomogeneous coordinates system of the \mathbf{P}^5 -bundle containing V .

Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus 4}$ of rank 6 over \mathbf{P}^1 , and let $\pi : X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ be the \mathbf{P}^5 -bundle over \mathbf{P}^1 associated to \mathcal{E} . Let $R = \mathbf{C}[x_0, x_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, t_0, t_1]$ be the bihomogeneous coordinates ring of X with bidegrees $\deg x_i = (1, 0)$, $\deg y_i = (1, -1)$, $\deg t_j = (0, 1)$. Consider the subvariety $V \subset X$ defined by the Pfaffian $\text{Pfaff}(M)$ of the skew-symmetric matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g & x_0 & x_1 + a & b \\ -g & 0 & x_1 & c & x_0 + d \\ -x_0 & -x_1 & 0 & y_2 & y_3 \\ -x_1 - a & -c & -y_2 & 0 & y_4 \\ -b & -x_0 - d & -y_3 & -y_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here

$$\begin{cases} g = g_0 t_0^2 + g_1 t_0 t_1 + g_2 t_1^2 \\ a = a_0 t_0 + a_1 t_1 \\ b = b_0 t_0 + b_1 t_1 \\ c = c_0 t_0 + c_1 t_1 \\ d = d_0 t_0 + d_1 t_1 \end{cases}$$

are the bihomogeneous polynomials in y_i and t_j with $\deg g = (1, 2)$, $\deg a = \deg b = \deg c = \deg d = (1, 1)$. If these polynomials are general, the variety V is smooth. Every fiber of $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is defined by the Pfaffian $\text{Pfaff}(M|_{t=\tau})$ for $\tau \in \mathbf{P}^1$, whence $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is a del Pezzo fibration of degree 5. The subvariety $V \subset X$ is defined by the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} f_5 = g y_2 - x_0 c + x_1 (x_1 + a) \\ f_4 = g y_3 - x_0 (x_0 + d) + x_1 b \\ f_3 = g y_4 - (x_1 + a)(x_0 + d) + b c \\ f_2 = x_0 y_4 - (x_1 + a) y_3 + b y_2 \\ f_1 = x_1 y_4 - c y_3 + (x_0 + d) y_2 \end{cases} \quad \dots\dots\dots (S)$$

in the bihomogeneous coordinates ring R , i.e., $V = \mathbf{Proj} R/(f_1, \dots, f_5)$.

In order to see that V is a weak Fano 3-fold, we compute the anti-canonical divisor $-K_V$ and its intersection numbers, and deduce the nef-and-bigness for $-K_V$.

The Picard group $\text{Pic} X$ is generated by the tautological bundle H and a fiber F of $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, and the canonical divisor of X is $K_X \sim -6H + 2F$. Let

$V_1 = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$, $V_2 = (f_1, f_2, f_3, y_4)$, $V_3 = (f_1, f_2, y_4)$, and $V_4 = (y_2, y_3, y_4)$ be subvarieties in X of dimension 3. Then we have $V_1 = V \cup V_2$ and $V_3 = V_2 \cup V_4$, i.e., $V \sim V_1 - V_2 \sim V_1 - (V_3 - V_4)$ in the Chow ring $\text{Chow}(X)$ of X . The varieties V_1 , V_3 and V_4 are complete intersections, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_1 &\sim (2H - F) \cdot (2H - F) \cdot 2H \sim 8H^3 - 8H^2F, \\
 V_3 &\sim (2H - F) \cdot (2H - F) \cdot (H - F) \sim 4H^3 - 8H^2F, \\
 V_4 &\sim (H - F) \cdot (H - F) \cdot (H - F) \sim H^3 - 3H^2F
 \end{aligned}$$

in $\text{Chow}(X)$, hence we have

$$V \sim 5H^3 - 3H^2F.$$

Since the canonical divisor of V_1 is

$$K_{V_1} \sim (K_X + (2H - F) + (2H - F) + 2H)|_{V_1} \sim 0$$

and the conductor C_V on V is $V_2|_V \sim H_V - F_V$, it follows that

$$-K_V \sim -(K_{V_1}|_V - C_V) \sim H_V - F_V.$$

Therefore, the self-intersection-number is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-K_V)_V^3 &= (H_V - F_V)_V^3 \\
 &= ((H - F)^3 \cdot (5H^3 - 3H^2F))_X = 5(H^6)_X - 18(H^5F)_X \\
 &= 20 - 18 = 2 > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have only to see the nefness of $-K_V$.

Let s_0 be the minimal section of $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, i.e., the section corresponding to the exact sequence $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \rightarrow 0$, and let l be a line in any fiber of $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Let $C \subset V$ be an irreducible curve with $(C \cdot -K_V)_V \leq 0$. Every effective curve C in V is considered the effective curve $C \equiv al + bs_0$ in X for some non-negative integers a and b . Then the intersection numbers with divisors H_V and F_V are $(C \cdot H_V)_V = (C \cdot H)_X = a$ and $(C \cdot F_V)_V = (C \cdot F)_X = b$, hence $(C \cdot -K_V)_V = a - b \leq 0$. The effectivity of C implies $b > 0$, and the restriction morphism $\pi|_C : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is surjective. Let $\nu : D \rightarrow C$ be the normalization, and let $\mu = \pi \circ \nu : D \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. The inclusion $D \rightarrow X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ determines the surjection $\mu^*\mathcal{E} = \nu^*(\pi^*\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \nu^*\mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}_D(a)$. It follows from $\deg \mu = (C \cdot F_V)_V = b$ that $\mu^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1) = \mathcal{O}_D(b)$, and hence the above surjection gives the surjection $\mathcal{O}_D^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_D(b)^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(a)$. If $b > a$, then this surjection factors $\mathcal{O}_D^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(a)$. It means that D is contained in $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}) \subset X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$, but this cannot occur because $V \cap \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}) = \emptyset$. Thus we conclude that $a = b$ and $(C \cdot -K_V)_V = 0$, in other words, $-K_V$ is nef.

Consequently, V is the weak Fano 3-fold with del Pezzo fibration of degree 5.

The anti-canonical model

As above, the weak Fano 3-fold V defined by (S) has the nef and big anti-canonical divisor $-K_V \sim H_V - F_V$ with $(-K_V)_V^3 = 2$. The anti-canonical morphism $\varphi_{|-K_V|} : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ is obtained by restricting the morphism $\varphi_{|H-F|} : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$. Let $V \rightarrow \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ be the Stein factorization of $\varphi_{|-K_V|} : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$, \bar{V} the anti-canonical model of V . It follows from $(-K_V)_V^3 = 2$ that the anti-canonical model $\bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ is a double covering.

In this section, we will describe the anti-canonical model $\bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ explicitly by the homogeneous coordinates $[y_2 : y_3 : y_4 : y_5]$ of \mathbf{P}^3 . For the sake of convenience, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &:= y_2 y_3 + y_4^2, & A &:= a y_3 - b y_2, & B &:= c y_3 - d y_2, \\ \Phi &:= A y_4 + B y_3, & \Psi &:= -A y_2 + B y_4, \\ H &:= (ad - bc) y_4 + Aa + Bd, & G &:= B\Phi + A\Psi \end{aligned}$$

and use the notation

$$\langle U, V \rangle := u_1 v_1 - 2u_0 v_2 - 2u_2 v_0, \quad \text{and} \quad [pq] := p_0 q_1 - p_1 q_0$$

for $U = u_0 t_0^2 + u_1 t_0 t_1 + u_2 t_1^2$, $V = v_0 t_0^2 + v_1 t_0 t_1 + v_2 t_1^2$, $p = p_0 t_0 + p_1 t_1$ and $q = q_0 t_0 + q_1 t_1$. Then the defining equation of the branch locus Σ of $\bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ can be described by

$$D = \Delta^2 \langle g, g \rangle - 2\Delta \langle g, H \rangle - 2\langle g, G \rangle + \langle H, H \rangle + 4([ad] - [bc])[AB].$$

Before confirming of this, we summarize the useful relations derived by the straightforward calculation:

Lemma 1. *Under the above setting and notation, we have*

- (1) $y_4 \Phi - y_3 \Psi = A\Delta, \quad y_2 \Phi + y_4 \Psi = B\Delta;$
- (2) $Hy_2 = c\Phi - a\Psi - B^2, \quad Hy_3 = d\Phi - b\Psi + A^2,$
 $Hy_4 = a\Phi + d\Psi - AB + (ad - bc)\Delta;$
- (3) $Gy_2 = -\Psi^2 + B^2\Delta, \quad Gy_3 = \Phi^2 - A^2\Delta, \quad Gy_4 = \Phi\Psi + AB\Delta.$

Lemma 2. *Let $U = u_0 t_0^2 + u_1 t_0 t_1 + u_2 t_1^2$, $V = v_0 t_0^2 + v_1 t_0 t_1 + v_2 t_1^2$, $W = w_0 t_0^2 + w_1 t_0 t_1 + w_2 t_1^2$, $p = p_0 t_0 + p_1 t_1$, $q = q_0 t_0 + q_1 t_1$, $r = r_0 t_0 + r_1 t_1$ and $s = s_0 t_0 + s_1 t_1$. Then*

- (1) $\langle U, V \rangle = \langle V, U \rangle, \quad \langle U + V, W \rangle = \langle U, W \rangle + \langle V, W \rangle;$
- (2) $[pq] = -[qp], \quad [(p + q)r] = [pr] + [qr], \quad \text{in particular} \quad [pp] = 0;$
- (3) $\langle pq, rs \rangle = -[pr][qs] - [ps][qr];$
- (4) $\langle p^2, rs \rangle = -2[pr][ps], \quad \langle pq, ps \rangle = [pq][ps].$

Lemma 3. *Under the above setting and notation, we have*

- (1) $[A\Phi] = [AB]y_3$, $[B\Phi] = -[AB]y_4$, $[A\Psi] = [AB]y_4$, $[B\Psi] = [AB]y_2$;
 (2) $[\Phi\Psi] = [AB]\Delta$.

We now confirm the description for the branch locus, eliminating the variables x_i and t_j in the defining equations (S). From two equations

$$f_2 = x_0y_4 - (x_1 + a)y_3 + by_2 = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$f_1 = x_1y_4 - cy_3 + (x_0 + d)y_2 = 0,$$

we have

$$x_0\Delta = \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad x_1\Delta = \Psi.$$

Eliminating x_0 and x_1 in f_5 by them, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_5\Delta^2 &= gy_2\Delta^2 - \Phi c\Delta + \Psi(\Psi + a\Delta) \\ &= gy_2\Delta^2 - (B^2 + Hy_2)\Delta + (B^2\Delta - Gy_2) \quad (\text{by Lemma 1}) \\ &= (g\Delta^2 - H\Delta - G)y_2, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_4\Delta^2 &= gy_3\Delta^2 - \Phi(\Phi + d\Delta) + \Psi b\Delta = (g\Delta^2 - H\Delta - G)y_3, \\ f_3\Delta^2 &= gy_2\Delta^2 - (\Psi + a\Delta)(\Phi + d\Delta) + bc\Delta^2 = (g\Delta^2 - H\Delta - G)y_4 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1. Thus we obtain the following equation if $(y_2, y_3, y_4) \neq (0, 0, 0)$:

$$F := g\Delta^2 - H\Delta - G = 0.$$

(It holds $F = 0$ trivially if $(y_2, y_3, y_4) = (0, 0, 0)$.) The polynomial F in y_i and t_j is written by

$$F = F_0t_0^2 + F_1t_0t_1 + F_2t_1^2, \quad F_i = g_i\Delta^2 - H_i\Delta - G_i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2),$$

for the homogeneous polynomial F_i of degree 5 in y_2, \dots, y_5 . Since F is a quadratic form in t_j , the equation $F = 0$ gives two values of $[t_0 : t_1]$ for general $y = [y_2 : y_3 : y_4 : y_5] \in \mathbf{P}^3$, i.e., the anti-canonical model \bar{V} is a double covering of \mathbf{P}^3 . The branch locus Σ is defined by

$$D := (F_1^2 - 4F_0F_2)/\Delta^2 = 0.$$

Here D is a polynomial of degree 6 in y_i 's as follows. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &F_1^2 - 4F_0F_2 \\ &= (g_1\Delta^2 - H_1\Delta - G_1)^2 - 4(g_0\Delta^2 - H_0\Delta - G_0)(g_2\Delta^2 - H_2\Delta - G_2) \\ &= \Delta^4(g_1^2 - 4g_0g_2) - 2\Delta^3(g_1H_1 - 2g_0H_2 - 2g_2H_0) \\ &\quad - 2\Delta^2(g_1G_1 - 2g_0G_2 - 2g_2G_0) + \Delta^2(H_1^2 - 4H_0H_2) \\ &\quad + 2\Delta(H_1G_1 - 2H_0G_2 - 2H_2G_0) + (G_1^2 - 4G_0G_2) \\ &= \Delta^4\langle g, g \rangle - 2\Delta^3\langle g, H \rangle - 2\Delta^2\langle g, G \rangle + \Delta^2\langle H, H \rangle + 2\Delta\langle H, G \rangle + \langle G, G \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we have only to show that

$$2\Delta\langle H, G \rangle + \langle G, G \rangle$$

has the factor Δ^2 . Easy calculation shows that

$$\begin{cases} \langle G, G \rangle = 4[AB]^2\Delta, & \dots\dots\dots (R1) \\ \langle H, G \rangle = 2[AB]\left(([ad] - [bc])\Delta - [AB]\right). & \dots\dots\dots (R2) \end{cases}$$

Indeed, the relation (R1) is follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G, G \rangle &= \langle B\Phi + A\Psi, B\Phi + A\Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle B\Phi, B\Phi \rangle + 2\langle B\Phi, A\Psi \rangle + \langle A\Psi, A\Psi \rangle \\ &= [B\Phi]^2 - 2[BA][\Phi\Psi] - 2[B\Psi][\Phi A] + [A\Psi]^2 && (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\ &= ([AB]y_4)^2 - 2[BA][AB]\Delta + 2[AB]^2y_2y_3 + ([AB]y_4)^2 && (\text{by Lemma 3}) \\ &= 4[AB]^2\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

It is more complicated for (R2). It follows from Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H, G \rangle y_2^2 &= \langle Hy_2, Gy_2 \rangle = \langle c\Phi - a\Psi - B^2, -\Psi^2 + B^2\Delta \rangle \\ &= 2[c\Psi][\Phi\Psi] - 2[B\Psi]^2 - 2([cB][\Phi B] - [aB][\Psi B])\Delta \\ &= 2[c\Psi][AB]\Delta - 2[AB]^2y_2^2 - 2([cB][AB]y_4 + [aB][AB]y_2)\Delta \\ &= 2[AB](-[cA]y_2 + [cB]y_4 - [cB]y_4 - [aB]y_2)\Delta - 2[AB]^2y_2^2 \\ &= 2[AB](-[ca]y_3 + [cb]y_2 - [ac]y_3 + [ad]y_2)y_2\Delta - 2[AB]^2y_2^2 \\ &= 2[AB]\left(([ad] - [bc])\Delta - [AB]\right)y_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\langle H, G \rangle y_3^2 = 2[AB]\left(([ad] - [bc])\Delta - [AB]\right)y_3^2.$$

If $y_2 \neq 0$ or $y_3 \neq 0$, we obtain (R2). The rest is the case $y_2 = y_3 = 0$. In this case, $A = B = 0$ and the both side of (R2) are also 0, hence the equality (R2) holds. Thus we have

$$2\Delta\langle H, G \rangle + \langle G, G \rangle = 4([ad] - [bc])[AB]\Delta^2$$

by (R1) and (R2), and therefore $F_1^2 - 4F_0F_2$ is divided by Δ^2 . Consequently, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D &= (F_1^2 - 4F_0F_2)/\Delta^2 \\ &= \Delta^2\langle g, g \rangle - 2\Delta\langle g, H \rangle - 2\langle g, G \rangle + \langle H, H \rangle + 4([ad] - [bc])[AB]. \end{aligned}$$

and hence the branch locus of the double covering $\bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ is the surface Σ of degree 6 defined by $D = 0$.

We next represent the anticanonical model \bar{V} and the morphism $V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ by using the bihomogeneous coordinates rings. Let $R = \mathbf{C}[x_0, x_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, t_0, t_1]$ and $R_V = R/(f_1, \dots, f_5)$ be the bihomogeneous coordinates rings of $X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and $V \subset X$, respectively. The anticanonical model \bar{V} is the double cover of \mathbf{P}^3 branched along $B = (D) \subset \mathbf{P}^3$, and hence is written by $w^2 - D$ in the weighted homogeneous coordinates ring $R_W = \mathbf{C}[y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5; w]$ with $\deg y_i = 1$ and $\deg w = 3$, i.e., $\bar{V} = (w^2 - D) \subset \mathbf{Proj} R_W = \mathbf{P}(1^4, 3)$. The ring homomorphisms $\varphi_i^\# : R_{\bar{V}} = R_W/(w^2 - D) \rightarrow R_V[\frac{1}{t_i}]$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 y_2 \mapsto y_2 & y_2 \mapsto y_2 \\
 y_3 \mapsto y_3 & y_3 \mapsto y_3 \\
 \varphi_0^\# : y_4 \mapsto y_4 & \varphi_1^\# : y_4 \mapsto y_4 \\
 y_5 \mapsto y_5 & y_5 \mapsto y_5 \\
 w \mapsto (F_1 t_0 + 2F_2 t_1)/(t_0 \Delta) & w \mapsto -(2F_0 t_0 + F_1 t_1)/(t_1 \Delta)
 \end{array}$$

are glued over $R_V[\frac{1}{t_0}, \frac{1}{t_1}]$, because $(F_1 t_0 + 2F_2 t_1)t_1 + (2F_0 t_0 + F_1 t_1)t_0 = 2F = 0$ on V ; therefore they induce the morphism $\varphi : V \rightarrow \overline{V} \subset \mathbf{P}(1^4, 3)$. (In the case $\Delta = 0$, we can see that $(F_1 t_0 + 2F_2 t_1)/t_0$ and $(2F_0 t_0 + F_1 t_1)/t_1$ are factored by Δ as in the after section constructing the flopped model.) This is an isomorphism outside of the locus (F_0, F_1, F_2) whose inverse image has positive dimension. The locus coincides with the set of flopping curves discussed in the next section.

The flopping curves

The morphism $\varphi_{|-K_V|} : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ contracts the curves $C \subset V$ with $(C \cdot -K_V) = 0$. Since these curves are in fibers of $\varphi_{|-K_V|}$, in order to find the curves $C \subset V$ with $(C \cdot -K_V) = 0$, we have only to find points in \mathbf{P}^3 with positive dimensional fibers of $\varphi_{|-K_V|}$. Eliminating the variables x_0 and x_1 from the system (S) as in the previous section, we have the system of equations in the four variables y_2, y_3, y_4 and y_5 . The solutions independent of the value $[t_0 : t_1]$ are related to the points with positive dimensional fibers. We treat into two cases.

Case (1). We treat the case $\Delta = 0$. In this case, there is the special subcase $y_2 = y_3 = y_4 = 0$ corresponding to the point $P = [0 : 0 : 0 : 1] \in \mathbf{P}^3$. The fiber γ over P is the curve defined by

$$\begin{cases}
 f_5 = -x_0 c^{(5)} y_5 + x_1 (x_1 + a^{(5)} y_5) = 0 \\
 f_4 = -x_0 (x_0 + d^{(5)} y_5) + x_1 b^{(5)} y_5 = 0 \\
 f_3 = -(x_1 + a^{(5)} y_5)(x_0 + d^{(5)} y_5) + b^{(5)} c^{(5)} y_5^2 = 0
 \end{cases}$$

in the sub- \mathbf{P}^2 -bundle $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ of $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Here $a^{(5)}, b^{(5)}, c^{(5)}$ and $d^{(5)}$ are the coefficients of y_5 in the polynomials a, b, c and d , respectively. Generality of these polynomials implies that γ is irreducible. Since $\gamma \equiv 3l + 3s_0$ in the \mathbf{P}^2 -bundle, hence in $V \subset X$, the curve γ is the trisection of $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ with $(\gamma \cdot -K_V) = 0$.

Next consider the other case, i.e., the case $\Delta = 0$ but $y_2 \neq 0$ or $y_3 \neq 0$. As in the previous section, we have $\Delta = \Phi = \Psi = 0$ from $f_2 = f_1 = 0$, the system of equations in the variables y_i and t_j . We have only to find the values

y_i , independent of the ratio $[t_0 : t_1]$, satisfying the system. These values satisfy

$$\Delta = \Phi_0 = \Phi_1 = \Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = 0, \quad \dots\dots\dots (S3)$$

where Φ_i, Ψ_i are the coefficients of t_i in Φ, Ψ . Let $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be the singular quadric surface defined by $\Delta = 0$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ the minimal resolution of \mathbf{Q} . Then $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ with the minimal section $\sigma, (\sigma^2)_{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} = -2$. The above special point P is nothing but the vertex of \mathbf{Q} . Let $C_i \subset \mathbf{Q}$ be the intersection curve with the surface defined by $\Phi_i = \Psi_i = 0$, and let $\tilde{C}_i \subset \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the strict transforms of C_i . The curves C_0 and C_1 meet at $P \in \mathbf{Q}$, but the generality of the polynomials a, b, c , and d implies that \tilde{C}_0 and \tilde{C}_1 does not meet on the minimal section $\sigma \subset \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$. Locally calculation gives $\tilde{C}_i \sim 3(2f + \sigma) - (f + 2\sigma) = 5f + \sigma$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$, where f is a fiber of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. We have $(\tilde{C}_1 \cdot \tilde{C}_2)_{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} = ((5f + \sigma)^2)_{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} = 10 - 2 = 8$, whence, except for the point $P \in \mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{P}^3$, there are 8 points on \mathbf{Q} with positive dimensional fiber of $\varphi|_{-K_V}$. The fiber over the point $\eta = [\eta_2 : \dots : \eta_5]$ of them is the curve defined by

$$\begin{cases} f_5 = g(\eta)\eta_2y^2 - x_0c(\eta)y + x_1(x_1 + a(\eta)y) = 0 \\ f_2 = x_1\eta_4 - c(\eta)\eta_3y + (x_0 + d(\eta)y)\eta_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

in the sub- \mathbf{P}^2 -bundle of $X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ corresponding to the value η , isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ with the bihomogeneous coordinate system $[x_0 : x_1; y] \times [t_0 : t_1]$. Here $a(\eta), \dots, g(\eta)$ mean the evaluations of a, \dots, g by $\eta = [\eta_2 : \dots : \eta_5]$. (This representation of the fiber is in the case $\eta_2 \neq 0$. It is similar in the case $\eta_3 \neq 0$.) The curve is irreducible, because a, b, c, d and g are general (b appears in the case $\eta_3 \neq 0$). This is the bisection in $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Consequently, there are 8 bisections τ_1, \dots, τ_8 of $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, contracted by $\varphi|_{-K_V}$.

Case (2). In the case $\Delta \neq 0$, we have the following equation in the variables y_i and t_j :

$$F = g\Delta^2 - H\Delta - G = 0$$

with $H = (ad - bc)y_4 + Aa + Bd$ and $G = B\Phi + A\Psi$. The values $y = [y_2 : y_3 : y_4 : y_5] \in \mathbf{P}^3$ independent of the ratio $[t_0 : t_1]$ are given by

$$F_0 = F_1 = F_2 = 0, \quad \dots\dots\dots (S4)$$

where $F_i = g_i\Delta^2 - H_i\Delta - G_i$ is the coefficient of $t_0^i t_1^{2-i}$ in F . Since each F_i is the homogeneous polynomial of degree 5 in y_i , the system (S4) has 125 solutions counted with the multiplicity. We first show that each value found in Case (1) satisfies also (S4). Since F_i belongs to the ideal $(y_2, y_3, y_4)^3 \subset \mathbf{C}[y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5]$ but does not to $(y_2, y_3, y_4)^4$, the system (S4) has zero with multiplicity 27 at $P = [0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$. The solution $\eta = [\eta_2 : \dots : \eta_5]$ of (S3) except P satisfies that $\eta_2 \neq 0$ or $\eta_3 \neq 0$. Lemma 1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 Fy_2 &= gy_2\Delta^2 - (c\Phi - a\Psi - B^2)\Delta - (-\Psi^2 + B^2\Delta) \\
 &= gy_2\Delta^2 - (c\Phi - a\Psi)\Delta + \Psi^2, \quad \text{and} \\
 Fy_3 &= gy_3\Delta^2 - (d\Phi - b\Psi + A^2)\Delta - (\Phi^2 - A^2\Delta) \\
 &= gy_3\Delta^2 - (d\Phi - b\Psi)\Delta - \Phi^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since Δ , Φ and Ψ are simple zero at η , the polynomial F has zeros at η with multiplicity two for any $[t_0 : t_1]$. Hence the system (S4) has zero with multiplicity 8 at each η , the solution of (S3) except P . Therefore, the number of the solutions of (S4) counted with the multiplicity, which does not satisfy (S3), is $125 - 27 - 8 \times 8 = 34$. For the general coefficients of a, b, c, d and g , these 34 roots have the simple multiplicity. (For example, we can check the simplicity of these roots in the case $f = 2y_3t_0^2 + (y_2 - 3y_3 - 4y_4 - 9y_5)t_0t_1 - (y_3 + 2y_5)t_1^2$, $a = (-y_2 + y_5)t_1$, $b = (y_2 + y_3 + 2y_4 + y_5)t_0 - y_5t_1$, $c = (-y_2 + y_3 + y_4 + y_5)t_0 + y_2t_1$ and $d = 2(y_3 + y_5)t_0 + y_3t_1$.) For each $\zeta = [\zeta_2 : \cdots : \zeta_5]$ of these simple roots, the fiber over $\zeta \in \mathbf{P}^3$ is the curve defined by

$$\begin{cases} f_2 = x_0\zeta_4 - (x_1 + a(\zeta)y)\zeta_3 + b(\zeta)\zeta_2y = 0 \\ f_1 = x_1\zeta_4 - c(\zeta)\zeta_3y + (x_0 + d(\zeta)y)\zeta_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

in the sub- \mathbf{P}^2 -bundle of X corresponding to ζ , isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ with the bihomogeneous coordinate system $[x_0 : x_1; y] \times [t_0 : t_1]$. Here $a(\zeta), \dots, d(\zeta)$ are the evaluations of a, \dots, d by $\zeta = [\zeta_2 : \cdots : \zeta_5]$. This curve is a section of $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.

Summing up the argument above, one has that there exist 43 flopping curves on V , and that one of them is a trisection γ , 8 of them are bisections τ_1, \dots, τ_8 , and the rest are sections $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{34}$ of $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.

The flopped model

We last construct the flop V^+ of the Fano 3-fold V concretely. The 3-fold $V \subset X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is defined by the system of equations (S). The polynomial $F = g\Delta^2 - H\Delta - G$ eliminating x_0 and x_1 is of bidegree $(5, 2)$ in $\mathbf{C}[y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, t_0, t_1]$, i.e., $F = F_0t_0^2 + F_1t_0t_1 + F_2t_1^2$.

Let $R^+ = \mathbf{C}[z_0, z_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, s_0, s_1]$ with $\deg z_i = (1, 0)$, $\deg y_i = (1, -1)$, $\deg s_j = (0, 1)$ be the bihomogeneous coordinates ring of the projective space bundle $X^+ = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^+)$, $\mathcal{E}^+ = \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 4} \cong \mathcal{E}$. We consider the following system of equations (S⁺):

$$\text{with } \begin{cases} f_5^+ = g^+ y_2 - z_0 c^+ + z_1(z_1 + a^+) \\ f_4^+ = g^+ y_3 - z_0(z_0 + d^+) + z_1 b^+ \\ f_3^+ = g^+ y_4 - (z_1 + a^+)(z_0 + d^+) + b^+ c^+ \\ f_2^+ = z_0 y_4 - (z_1 + a^+) y_3 + b^+ y_2 \\ f_1^+ = z_1 y_4 - c^+ y_3 + (z_0 + d^+) y_2 \end{cases} \quad \dots\dots\dots (S^+)$$

$$\begin{cases} g^+ = g_0 s_0^2 + g_1 s_0 s_1 + g_2 s_1^2 \\ a^+ = a_0 s_0 + a_1 s_1 \\ b^+ = b_0 s_0 + b_1 s_1 \\ c^+ = c_0 s_0 + c_1 s_1 \\ d^+ = d_0 s_0 + d_1 s_1 \end{cases}$$

and let $V^+ \subset X^+$ be the variety defined by (S^+) , i.e., $V^+ = \mathbf{Proj} R^+ / (f_1^+, \dots, f_5^+)$. Let $\chi_j^\# : R^+ / (f_1^+, \dots, f_5^+) \rightarrow R / (f_1, \dots, f_5) [\frac{1}{t_j}]$ ($j = 0, 1$) be the ring homomorphism defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \chi_0^\# : & \begin{array}{l} z_0 \mapsto (x_0 F_2 + \varphi_0 t_0) / t_0^2 \\ z_1 \mapsto (x_1 F_2 + \psi_0 t_0) / t_0^2 \\ y_2 \mapsto y_2 \\ y_3 \mapsto y_3 \\ y_4 \mapsto y_4 \\ y_5 \mapsto y_5 \\ s_0 \mapsto -F_2 / t_0 \\ s_1 \mapsto (F_1 t_0 + F_2 t_1) / t_0^2 \end{array} \\ \chi_1^\# : & \begin{array}{l} z_0 \mapsto (x_0 F_0 + \varphi_1 t_1) / t_1^2 \\ z_1 \mapsto (x_1 F_0 + \psi_1 t_1) / t_1^2 \\ y_2 \mapsto y_2 \\ y_3 \mapsto y_3 \\ y_4 \mapsto y_4 \\ y_5 \mapsto y_5 \\ s_0 \mapsto (F_0 t_0 + F_1 t_1) / t_1^2 \\ s_1 \mapsto -F_0 / t_1 \end{array} \end{array}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 &= (-2\Phi_0 g_2 + \Phi_1 g_1) \Delta - (-2\Phi_0 H_2 + \Phi_1 H_1) + 2[AB]A_1, \\ \psi_0 &= (-2\Psi_0 g_2 + \Psi_1 g_1) \Delta - (-2\Psi_0 H_2 + \Psi_1 H_1) - 2[AB]B_1, \\ \varphi_1 &= (-2\Phi_1 g_0 + \Phi_0 g_1) \Delta - (-2\Phi_1 H_0 + \Phi_0 H_1) - 2[AB]A_0, \\ \psi_1 &= (-2\Psi_1 g_0 + \Psi_0 g_1) \Delta - (-2\Psi_1 H_0 + \Psi_0 H_1) + 2[AB]B_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\Phi_0 G_2 - \Phi_1 G_1 \\ &= 2\Phi_0(A_1 \Psi_1 + B_1 \Phi_1) - \Phi_1(A_0 \Psi_1 + A_1 \Psi_0 + B_0 \Phi_1 + B_1 \Phi_0) \\ &= [\Phi A] \Psi_1 + [\Phi \Psi] A_1 + [\Phi B] \Phi_1 \\ &= -[AB] \Psi_1 y_3 + [AB] \Delta A_1 + [AB] \Phi_1 y_4 \\ &= 2[AB] A_1 \Delta \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$2\Phi_1 G_0 - \Phi_0 G_1 = -2[AB] A_0 \Delta$$

by Lemmas 1 and 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x_0 F_2 + \varphi_0 t_0) \Delta t_1^2 \\
 &= \Phi F_2 t_1^2 + \varphi_0 \Delta t_0 t_1^2 \\
 &= \Phi F_2 t_1^2 + (-2\Phi_0(F_2 + G_2) + \Phi_1(F_1 + G_1) + 2[AB]A_1\Delta)t_0 t_1^2 \\
 &= ((\Phi_0 t_0 + \Phi_1 t_1)F_2 - 2\Phi_0 F_2 t_0 + \Phi_1 F_1 t_0)t_1^2 - (2\Phi_0 G_2 - \Phi_1 G_1 - 2[AB]A_1\Delta)t_0 t_1^2 \\
 &= (-\Phi_0 F_2 t_0 t_1 + \Phi_1(F_1 t_0 t_1 + F_2 t_1^2))t_1 \\
 &= -(\Phi_0 F_2 t_1 + \Phi_1 F_0 t_0)t_0 t_1
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly


$$(x_0 F_0 + \varphi_1 t_1) \Delta t_0^2 = -(\Phi_0 F_2 t_1 + \Phi_1 F_0 t_0)t_0 t_1$$

modulo (f_1, \dots, f_5) . The similar calculation also gives $(x_1 F_2 + \psi_0 t_0) \Delta t_1^2 = (x_1 F_0 + \psi_1 t_1) \Delta t_0^2$ modulo (f_1, \dots, f_5) , therefore the ring homomorphisms $\chi_0^\#$ and $\chi_1^\#$ glue over $R/(f_1, \dots, f_5)[\frac{1}{t_0}, \frac{1}{t_1}]$, and induce the rational map $\chi : V \cdots \rightarrow V^+$. This map is an isomorphism outside of $F_0 = F_1 = F_2 = 0$ which is nothing but the set of flopping curves.

By symmetry, the variety V^+ is a smooth weak Fano 3-fold with del Pezzo fibration of degree 5: $V^+ \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^+) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, and has the parallel properties to the original weak Fano 3-fold $V \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. In particular, the anticanonical model is the same to \bar{V} , and the flop $\chi : V \cdots \rightarrow V^+$ is obtained by extending the involution map of the double cover $\bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$.

Result

Consequently, we have the following diagram of varieties and birational maps:



In this diagram, V is the weak Fano 3-fold with del Pezzo fibration of degree 5 of $(-K_V)^3 = 2$; the variety \bar{V} is the anti-canonical model of V , which is the double cover of \mathbf{P}^3 branched sextic surface $B = (D)$; the subset $C \subset V$ is the union of flopping curves which consists of a trisection, 8 bisections and 34 sections of $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$; and the flop V^+ of V is also the weak Fano 3-fold with the same

property to V .

■参考文献

- [1] J. Kollár, *Flops*, Nagoya Math. J. **113** (1989), 15–36.
- [2] M. Reid, *Minimal models of canonical 3-folds*, in Algebraic Varieties and Analytic Varieties, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **1** (1983), 131–180.
- [3] M. Reid, *Projective morphisms according to Kawamata*, preprint, Univ. of Warwick (1983).
- [4] K. Takeuchi, *Weak Fano 3-folds with a quadric bundle structure*, Bulliten of Shotoku Gakuen Junier College **29** (1997), 15–28.
- [5] K. Takeuchi, *Weak Fano 3-folds with del Pezzo fibration*, working report, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research(C), 12640048, (2002).

■註

- 1) This research was partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 12640048, 2000-2001.